Prepared for submission to JHEP

Production of charm-strange hadronic molecules at the LHC

Feng-Kun Guo,[a] **Ulf-G. Meißner,**[a,b] **Wei Wang**[a] **and Zhi Yang**[a]

[a] *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik and Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, Universität Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

[b] *Institute for Advanced Simulation, Institut für Kernphysik and Jülich Center for Hadron Physics, JARA-FAME and JARA-HPC, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany*

E-mail: fkguo@hiskp.uni-bonn.de, meissner@hiskp.uni-bonn.de, weiwang@hiskp.uni-bonn.de, zhiyang@hiskp.uni-bonn.de

Abstract: We explore the inclusive hadroproduction of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)$ states at the Large Hadron Collider under the assumption that these hadrons are S-wave meson-meson molecules. In addition, the $D_{s2}(2910)$, a predicted bound state of the $D_2(2460)K$ system, is also discussed. We first derive a factorisation formula for the production rates based on effective field theory. Then we make use of two MC event generators, Herwig and Pythia, to simulate the production of pairs of charmed mesons and kaons. Using effective field theory to handle the final state interaction among the meson pairs, we give an estimate of the inclusive production rates for these particles at the order-of-magnitude accuracy. Our results show that the cross sections for the $pp \to D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $pp \to D_{s1}(2460)$ at the LHC are at $\mathcal{O}(1\ \mu\text{b})$ level, while the ones for the $pp \to D_{sJ}(2860)$ and the $pp \to D_{s2}(2910)$ are smaller by about one order of magnitude. Such estimates suggest that these four exotic states could be copiously produced at the LHC. A study of these states at the LHC will thus provide valuable information on hadron spectroscopy as well as hadron interactions.

arXiv:1403.4032v2 [hep-ph] 30 Apr 2014

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Kaonic bound states in effective field theory 4

3 Hadroproduction of kaonic molecules 6
- 3.1 Factorization of the near-threshold production rate 7
- 3.2 Production of the charm-strange hadronic molecules 9
- 3.3 Estimating the cross sections using Monte Carlo event generators 10

4 Results 11

5 Summary 14

A Polarization vectors/tensors in the scattering amplitudes 15

1 Introduction

Heavy-light mesons can provide a unique window to study heavy quark dynamics and non-perturbative QCD in the presence of a heavy quark. In recent years, a number of the charm-strange mesons have been discovered at various experimental facilities. These observations have not only enriched the particle zoo of the ordinary $c\bar{s}$ family, but also have provided interesting candidates for exotic states beyond the $\bar{q}q$ scenario.

One of the most interesting exotic candidates is the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ first discovered in 2003 by the BABAR Collaboration in the inclusive $D_s\pi^0$ mass distribution from e^+e^- annihilation data [1]. Later, the CLEO Collaboration discovered the $D_{s1}(2460)$ in the $D_s^*\pi^0$ final state [2], followed by the discovery of the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ by the BABAR Collaboration in the DK channel [3].

The heavy-light hadrons are conveniently classified in spin multiplets, the members of which are degenerate in the heavy quark limit $m_Q \to \infty$, labeled by the value of the total angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom s_ℓ. For instance, the pseudoscalar charmed meson D_s and the vector charmed meson D_s^* belong to the multiplet with $s_\ell^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$. Given that the mass difference between $D_{s1}(2460)$ and $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ is not large, it is likely that the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ are spin partners. An important feature of the above mentioned two charm-strange mesons is that their masses are far below the potential model predictions for the lowest states

with the same quantum numbers, e.g. in the Godfrey-Isgur quark model [4]. Various explanations were proposed for these states, for reviews, see Refs. [5, 6]. Of particular interest is the hadronic molecule assumption under which the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ are DK and D^*K bound states, respectively [7–11]. In this picture, the approximate equality of the mass splittings $M_{D_{s1}(2460)} - M_{D_{s0}^*(2317)} \simeq 142$ MeV and $M_{D^*} - M_D \simeq 143$ MeV, as can be seen in Table 1, is a natural consequence of heavy quark spin symmetry [12]. One can also appeal to the parity doublet assumption [13–15] to explain this equality. Being below the DK and D^*K thresholds, the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ both have narrow widths, less than 3.8 MeV and 3.5 MeV, respectively [16]. The small widths arise from radiative decays and isospin-violating hadronic decay modes, due to either the $\eta - \pi^0$ mixing [17] or the mass differences between the neutral and charged charmed meson and kaons [18–21]. These decay modes have been intensively explored under different interpretations of the internal structure, and it was suggested that the isospin breaking decays can be used to distinguish the hadronic molecule picture from the others [18–22]. So far the experimental resolution is not high enough to measure the width of the hadronic width of the order of 100 keV predicted in the hadronic molecule picture. Yet, the planned $\overline{\rm P}$ANDA experiment is expected to be able to perform the measurement [23]. However, there is support of the hadronic molecule picture from some lattice QCD calculations of the charmed meson–light meson scattering lengths [22, 24].

It was proposed in Ref. [25] that the leading order interaction between an excited heavy meson with a small decay width [1] and the kaon should be the same as that for the ground state charmed mesons. This is a consequence of chiral symmetry when the kaons are regarded as pseudo-Goldstone bosons of the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry. Analogous to the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ as the DK and D^*K bound states, more kaonic bound states are predicted. Especially, the properties of the predicted $D_1(2420)K$ bound state agree with the experimental data for the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ very well, including the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(D_{sJ}(2860) \to DK)/\mathcal{B}(D_{sJ}(2860) \to D^*K)$ which is difficult to be accounted for in other models. Its spin partner, a $D_2(2460)K$ bound state, was predicted to have a mass and a width around 2910 MeV and 10 MeV, respectively. This state has quantum numbers $J^P = 2^-$ and can decay into the D^*K and $D_s^*\eta$. It will be called $D_{s2}(2910)$ throughout the paper.

So far, most of the available experimental and theoretical investigations of the exotic candidates in the charm-strange sector have been focused on the spectrum and decays or the production in the e^+e^- collisions including B meson decays. In order to decipher the nature of the D_{sJ} states, more accurate data and new processes involving these states would be equally important. These processes include heavy ion

[1] The excited state should be narrow so that is width is small in comparison with the inverse of the range of forces between the heavy meson and the kaon. Otherwise, a new large energy scale would be introduced, and the analogy to the ground state mesons would be spoiled.

	$D_{s0}^*(2317)$	$D_{s1}(2460)$	$D_{sJ}(2860)$
constituents	DK	D^*K	D_1K
mass	2317.8 ± 0.6	2459.6 ± 0.6	$2863.2^{+4.0}_{-2.6}$
relative energy (E_0)	-45.1 ± 0.4	-44.7 ± 0.4	$-54.8^{+2.5}_{-1.1}$

Table 1. Masses of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)$ taken from the Particle Data Group [16], and the relative energy E_0 of the exotic states to the corresponding thresholds (in units of MeV).

collisions [26, 27] and hadroproduction. At low energies, the forthcoming $\overline{\text{P}}$ANDA experiment has a particular focus on the hadroproduction of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ state [28], as it is supposed to be able to measure the decay width of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ at the 100 keV level. At hadron colliders with a very high collision energy like the LHC, the charm quark will be abundantly produced via the QCD processes, which may serve as an ideal platform for the study of the D_{sJ} states. For instance, the LHCb Collaboration has reported a measurement of the decays of the $D_{s1}^*(2710)$, which is the first radially excited state of the D_s^* with $J^P = 1^-$, and the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ into the $D^+K_S^0$ and D^0K^+ final states with small statistical uncertainties [29].

In this paper, we will provide a theoretical exploration of the hadroproduction of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and the $D_{s2}(2910)$ at the LHC. Our approach is similar to that used in studying the production of the $X(3872)$ [30–32] and other heavy quarkonium-like states [33, 34], while for different treatments on heavy quarkonium production, see Refs. [35–37]. Our calculation is based on the assumption that the four states are S-wave hadron molecules consisting of a kaon and a nonstrange charmed meson. Under this assumption, the charmed meson–kaon pairs will be produced first and these D_{sJ} states are then formed through the final state interaction between them. We will make use of two Monte Carlo (MC) event generators, Herwig and Pythia, to simulate the production of pairs of the charmed meson and the kaon. Then, using effective field theory (EFT) to handle the final state interaction between the kaon and charmed meson, we will derive an estimate of the production rates for these particles at the order-of-magnitude accuracy. As we will show in the following, the prospect to observe these states at the LHC from the accumulated data is rather promising and thus an experimental analysis is urgently called for.

This paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2, an overview of the effective field theory description of the kaonic bound states will be presented. Based on the MC event generators, we will derive the production rates of the molecular states in Sec. 3, while the numerical results are presented subsequently in Sec. 4. We will summarize this work in Sec. 5.



Figure 1. Sketch of the resummation of the amplitudes. Here, T denotes the total scattering amplitude, V is the leading order amplitude and G is the loop integral. The heavy meson H and kaon are represented by solid and dashed lines, respectively.

2 Kaonic bound states in effective field theory

As the isospin of these exotic states is $I = 0$, the assumed molecular structures can be explicitly written as

$$|D_{sJ}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|H^+K^0\right\rangle + \left|H^0K^+\right\rangle\right),$$

where H denotes the D, D^*, D_1 and D_2 charmed mesons.

All the considered bound states contain the light pseudoscalar kaon, which can be considered a Goldstone boson of the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking from $\mathrm{SU}(3)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(3)_R$ to $\mathrm{SU}(3)_V$. The interaction between the Goldstone bosons and the heavy mesons can be described by the heavy flavor chiral perturbation theory [38–40], which combines the chiral and heavy quark symmetries in the light and heavy quark sectors, respectively. The leading order contact interaction between a Goldstone boson and a heavy meson comes from the kinetic term in the Lagrangian for the heavy mesons with the derivatives being chirally gauged

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{kin}} = \mathcal{D}_\mu H \mathcal{D}^\mu H^\dagger, \tag{2.1}$$

where H denotes the heavy meson field, and the chiral gauge derivative is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\left(u^\dagger \partial_\mu u + u\,\partial_\mu u^\dagger\right), \tag{2.2}$$

with $U = \exp\left(i\sqrt{2}\Phi/F\right)$ and $u = \sqrt{U}$. Here, Φ is a 3×3 matrix parametrizing the octet Goldstone bosons

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

and F is the pion decay constant in the chiral limit. Since we will only consider the leading order interaction, $F = 92.2$ MeV will be used.

From the Lagrangian, one can derive the leading order scattering amplitude. [2] One finds that among all the channels for the light meson–heavy meson S-wave

[2] In Ref. [41], it is shown that the contribution from exchanging heavy mesons is negligible. Thus, we will only consider the contact terms derived from Eq. (2.1).

scattering, the kaon–charmed meson one with isospin $I = 0$ is the most attractive. The tree level scattering amplitude of the process $HK \to HK$ reads

$$V(s,t,u) = -\frac{s-u}{2F^2}, \tag{2.4}$$

where s, t and u are the Mandelstam variables with the constraint $s+t+u = 2(m_H^2 + m_K^2)$ for on-shell particles. The S-wave amplitude is projected out for on-shell particles by using

$$V_0(s) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1} V(s,t(s,\cos\theta),u(s,\cos\theta))d\cos\theta = -\frac{2}{F^2}m_H E_K\left[1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2}{m_H^2}\right)\right], \tag{2.5}$$

where $E_K = (s - m_H^2 + m_K^2)/(2\sqrt{s})$ is the energy of the heavy meson, k is the momentum in the center-of-mass frame, and we have used the fact that the heavy meson is highly nonrelativistic in the energy region of interest. Since the interaction is strong, and a perturbative expansion cannot account for the subthreshold states such as the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, we need to sum up the amplitudes up to infinite orders, as shown in Fig. 1. This can be done by using the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE), which is an integral equation

$$T(\boldsymbol{k}',\boldsymbol{k};s) = V(\boldsymbol{k}',\boldsymbol{k};s) + i\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{V(\boldsymbol{k}',\boldsymbol{q};s)\,T(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k};s)}{(q^2-m_K^2+i\epsilon)[(p-q)^2-m_H^2+i\epsilon]}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $p^2 = s$, and we keep the dependence of the amplitudes on the center-of-mass momenta in the initial and final states explicitly. We will follow the approach developed in Ref. [44] and take the on-shell S-wave amplitude $V_0(s)$ as the kernel of the BSE. This is an approximation, and its justification can be found by using dispersion relations [45, 46] or taking the off-shell contribution into account [47]. [3] Then, the integral equation is simplified to an algebra equation. The resummed S-wave amplitude reads

$$T_0(s) = V_0(s)\left[1 - G(s)V_0(s)\right]^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that both here and in Eq. (2.4) we have neglected the polarizations of the charmed mesons with spin 1 or 2. The justification comes from the fact that at the energy region of interest these charmed mesons are highly nonrelativistic (for details we refer to Appendix A). In Eq. (2.7), $G(s)$ is the two-meson scalar loop function

$$G(s) = i\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{q^2-m_K^2+i\epsilon}\frac{1}{(p-q)^2-m_H^2+i\epsilon}. \tag{2.8}$$

The integral is divergent, and the divergence can be regularized using a subtraction constant. Treating the heavy meson nonrelativistically, we get an analytic expression

[3] For a critical discussion on the use of the on-shell approximation, see e.g. Ref. [48].



Figure 2. The mechanism considered in the paper for the inclusive production of the $D_{s,J}$ as a HK bound state in proton–proton collisions. Here, X denotes all the produced particles other than the H and K in the collision.

for the renormalised loop function [41]

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 M_H}\left\{E_K\left[a(\mu)+\log\left(\frac{m_K^2}{\mu^2}\right)\right] + 2p_{\rm cm}\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{E_K}{m_K}\right) - i\,2\pi p_{\rm cm}\right\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where μ is the regularization scale, $a(\mu)$ is the subtraction constant which is scale-dependent rendering the loop function scale-independent, $p_{cm} = \lambda(s, m_H^2, m_K^2)/(2\sqrt{s})$ and $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2xz$ is the Källén function.

A bound state of two mesons is associated with a pole of the resummed scattering amplitude below threshold on the real axis in the first Riemann sheet of the energy plane. Following Ref. [25], we fix the value of $a(\mu)$ to reproduce the mass of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ at 2317.8 MeV, which gives $a(\mu = 1\ \text{GeV}) = -3.84$, and use it in all other channels. Certainly, different values of the subtraction constant correspond to different pole positions in a given channel. This allows us to vary the constant to get different binding energies so as to investigate the impact of binding energy on the cross sections later on.

3 Hadroproduction of kaonic molecules

In principle, the $D_{s,J}$ states can couple to other components such as the $c\bar{s}$ or a $[cq][\bar{s}\bar{q}]$ tetraquark if they have the required quantum numbers even the dominant component of their wave function is a kaon–charmed meson bound state. All the components contribute to the production of the $D_{s,J}$ states. In general, it is a process-dependent question to conclude which one is more important. Here we will assume that the production of the $D_{s,J}$ will occur through generating the charmed meson kaon pairs first which will form the $D_{s,J}$ states afterward. The mechanism is shown in Fig. 2. This mechanism is valid only when the binding energy of the bound state is small so that its constituents H and K are only slightly off-shell.

3.1 Factorization of the near-threshold production rate

In Ref. [31], a factorization formula for the $D\bar{D}^*$ production rate in the near-threshold region was used, which reads

$$d\sigma[D^{*0}\bar{D}^0(\boldsymbol{k})] = \frac{1}{\text{flux}}\sum_X \int d\phi_{D^*\bar{D}+X} \left|\frac{\mathcal{M}[D^{*0}\bar{D}^0(0)+X]}{T(0)}\right|^2 |T(\boldsymbol{k})|^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\mu}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}$ is the center-of-mass momentum of the constituents, μ is the reduced mass, $\mathcal{M}[D^{*0}\bar{D}^0(\boldsymbol{k})+X]$ is the amplitude for the $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ inclusive production, T is the $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ scattering amplitude which accounts for the final state interaction (FSI) and X means all the other particles in the inclusive process. The phase space integration runs over all the rest particles and the one for the total momentum of the $D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$ pair. In this FSI method, the cross-channel rescattering with other comoving particles is neglected. In fact, as pointed out in Refs. [42, 43], the presence of such particles could largely affect the so-calculated cross section.

The factorization formula in Eq. (3.1) is based on the an assumption that the momentum dependence of the production amplitude is mainly given by $T(\boldsymbol{k})$ in the near-threshold region, and $\mathcal{M}/T$ is insensitive to $\boldsymbol{k}$. In general, however, it is not clear how $\mathcal{M}/T$ depends on the momentum at all. Especially, if the produced particles contain one or more of the lowest-lying pseudoscalar mesons (pions, kaons and the η-meson) which are the pseudo-Goldstone bosons of the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry of QCD, the near-threshold production amplitude without the FSI should have a momentum dependence dictated by chiral symmetry. At first sight, it is not clear whether there exists a factorization formula analogous to Eq. (3.1) or not. Here, we will show that such a formula indeed exists as a consequence of chiral symmetry and if we use the same on-shell approximation as that in Eq. (2.7).

The general differential cross section formula for the inclusive HK production reads

$$d\sigma[HK(\boldsymbol{k})] = \frac{1}{\text{flux}}\sum_X \int d\phi_{HK+X} |\mathcal{M}[HK(\boldsymbol{k})+X]|^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\mu}. \tag{3.2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}$ is the three-momentum in the center-of-mass frame of the HK pair, μ is the reduced mass, and $\mathcal{M}[HK(\boldsymbol{k})+X]$ is the production amplitude. As illustrated in Fig. 3, we separate the inclusive production amplitude of the HK pair into two parts: one is due to the direct production to be denoted by Γ, and the other includes the FSI described by the scattering amplitude T. We thus have an integral equation

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{k};s) = \Gamma(\boldsymbol{k};s) + i\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\Gamma(\boldsymbol{q};s)\,T(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{k};s)}{(q^2-m_K^2+i\epsilon)[(p-q)^2-m_H^2+i\epsilon]}. \tag{3.3}$$

Rewriting this equation in terms of operators, we get

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \hat{\Gamma}(1+\hat{G}\,\hat{T}), \tag{3.4}$$



Figure 3. Inclusive production of the HK pair in proton–proton collisions. Here Γ denotes the direct production vertex, T is the resummed HK scattering amplitude, and X denotes all the produced particles other than the HK pair.

where $\hat{G}$ is the operator for the Green's function of the HK system. Similarly, the BSE given in Eq. (2.6) can be written as

$$\hat{T} = \hat{V}(1 + \hat{G}\,\hat{T}). \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \hat{\Gamma}\,\hat{V}^{-1}\,\hat{T}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since we are only considering the production of the HK pair in the near-threshold region, the production amplitude should be dominated by the S-wave. Taking the same on-shell approximation as that in the resummed scattering amplitude given in Eq. (2.7), in the near-threshold region we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{k}) \simeq \Gamma_0(\boldsymbol{k})\, V_0(\boldsymbol{k})^{-1}\, T_0(\boldsymbol{k}), \tag{3.7}$$

where we have used the center-of-mass momentum as the variable of all the functions. From Eq. (2.5) we know that $V_0(\boldsymbol{k}) \propto E_K = \sqrt{m_K^2 + \boldsymbol{k}^2}$. But what is the momentum dependence of the direct production amplitude $\Gamma_0(\boldsymbol{k})$? The answer comes from a chiral symmetry analysis which is applicable in the near-threshold region.

Since we are only interested in the HK pair and do not care about the details of the other particles in the process, we may parameterize all the other particles involved in the inclusive production process by an external source field S which has the same quantum numbers as the HK pair. This means that we neglect the cross-channel rescattering of the charmed meson and kaon with other particles in the final state. The spirit of this kind of treatment was already used to investigate the $\pi\pi(K\bar{K})$ system in the decays $J/\psi \to \phi\pi\pi(K\bar{K})$ [49]. In the chiral limit when the up, down and strange quarks are massless, the coupling of the Goldstone bosons (pions, kaons and the eta) to any other fields has to be in a derivative form which ensures that the interaction strength vanishes at threshold as required by the Goldstone theorem. Thus, at leading order in the momentum expansion we have the Lagrangian for the near-threshold HK production [4]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm prod} = c\, S\, \partial^\mu H\, \partial_\mu K. \tag{3.8}$$

[4] There can be a term of the form $\partial^\mu S\, H\, \partial_\mu K$. However, this term can be recast into the one in Eq. (3.8) modulo a higher order term by using integration by parts.

All the short-distance physics has been parameterized into the coefficient c and the source field S. Here, we have made an implicit approximation that in the final states of the inclusive production, there is no other soft chiral particles other than the kaon in the HK pair so that we can neglect the interaction of the kaon with them. Although there are two derivatives in each term, this Lagrangian is of order $\mathcal{O}(k)$, with k being a momentum much smaller than the typical hadron scale $\Lambda_\chi \sim 1$ GeV. The reason is that the dominant part of the heavy meson four-momentum is the mass of the heavy meson, and thus $\partial^\mu H$ is dominated by its temporal component. An insertion of the light quark masses will give higher order corrections. Therefore, at leading order in the chiral expansion, the direct production amplitude is proportional to the kaon energy $\Gamma_0(\boldsymbol{k}) \propto E_K$. The same energy dependence occurs in $V_0(\boldsymbol{k})$ as given in Eq. (2.5). Together with Eq. (3.6), this means that the production amplitude can be factorized into the product of a constant C and the HK scattering amplitude in the near-threshold region

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{k}) = C\, T_0(\boldsymbol{k}). \tag{3.9}$$

In brief, we have derived the factorization formula for the production of the HK pair which is valid in the near-threshold region

$$d\sigma[HK(\boldsymbol{k})] = \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_X \int d\phi_{HK+X}\, |C|^2\, |T_0(\boldsymbol{k})|^2\, \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\mu}, \tag{3.10}$$

where the constant C may take a value of $\mathcal{M}[HK(\boldsymbol{k}) + X]/T_0(\boldsymbol{k})$ for any $\boldsymbol{k}$ provided that $k \ll \Lambda_\chi$. It is related to the coefficient c in Eq. (3.8) through $|C| = |c|F^2/2$. This is the analogue of the factorization used in studying the $D\bar{D}^*$ production in Ref. [31], and is applicable to the near-threshold production of a pair of a heavy meson and a pseudo-Goldstone boson. It allows for the separation of the long-distance and short-distance contributions in the amplitudes for the production of the molecules. The latter is the same for the processes $pp \to HK$ and $pp \to D_{sJ}$, while the long-distance factor can be deduced from the scattering amplitude given in Eq. (2.7).

3.2 Production of the charm-strange hadronic molecules

The cross section for the D_{sJ} production is

$$\sigma[D_{sJ}] = \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_X \int d\phi_{D_{sJ}+X}\, |\mathcal{M}[D_{sJ} + X]|^2\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Since in Eq. (3.2) the integrated phase space $d\phi_{HK+X}$ already contains the part of the total momentum of the HK pair, the phase space integration in the above equation is the same as that in Eq. (3.2). The resummed scattering amplitude $T_0(\boldsymbol{k})$ contains information about the generated hadronic molecules because these D_{sJ} states are the bound state poles of $T_0(s)$. Thus, it is straightforward to extend the factorization formula Eq. (3.10) to the case of the inclusive D_{sJ} production provided that these

states are produced through intermediate HK pairs. The recipe is to replace the $HK \to HK$ scattering amplitude $T_0(s)$ in Eq. (3.10) by the amplitude for the process $HK \to D_{sJ}$, which is given by the effective coupling constant for the $D_{sJ}HK$ vertex in the vicinity of the D_{sJ} pole. We have

$$\sigma[D_{sJ}] = \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_X \int d\phi_{D_{sJ}+X} \left| C\, g_{\text{eff}} \right|^2 , \tag{3.12}$$

where C is the same constant as that in Eq. (3.10). The effective coupling constant is given by the residue of the transition matrix element at the pole

$$|g_{\text{eff}}|^2 = \lim_{s \to s_{\text{pole}}} (s - s_{\text{pole}})\, T_0(s) = \left. \frac{1}{d[V_0(s)^{-1} - G(s)]/ds} \right|_{s=s_{\text{pole}}} . \tag{3.13}$$

We have checked that the same equation will be obtained if we use the approach adopted in Ref. [31] which uses the Migdal-Watson theorem [50, 51] and the unitary relation for the scattering amplitude.

By varying the subtraction constant in the expression of loop function G, we can get different binding energies. Therefore the cross section for the hadronic molecule in Eq. (3.12) is dependent on the subtraction constant, and indeed on the binding energy. With a smaller binding energy, we found that the production rate of the molecules gets smaller. This conclusion is in agreement with Ref [31], in which the universal scattering amplitude was adopted. From the scattering length $a = (2\mu E_B)^{-1/2}$ with E_B the binding energy, it means that the bound state with a larger scattering length is more difficult to be produced.

3.3 Estimating the cross sections using Monte Carlo event generators

As a phenomenological and successful tool that has been used in many other processes, MC event generators are able to simulate the hadronization of partons produced in QCD processes, and therefore provide an estimate of the $pp \to HK$ inclusive cross sections. Two commonly adopted programs are Pythia [52] and Herwig [53]. However in the above event generators, the FSI effect which governs the momentum dependence close to threshold, as depicted in the scattering amplitude $T_0(s)$ in Eq. (2.7), is not incorporated. Thus, the MC cross section corresponds to the case without near-threshold FSI, and can be approximately expressed in terms of the vertex given in the leading order Lagrangian in Eq. (3.8),

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma[HK(\boldsymbol{k})]}{dk} \right)_{\text{MC}} = K_{HK} \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_X \int d\phi_{HK+X} \left| c\, m_H\, E_K \right|^2 \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2 \mu}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $K_{HK} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ is introduced because of the overall difference between MC simulation and the experimental data, while for an order-of-magnitude estimate we can roughly take $K_{HK} \simeq 1$.

	$D^*_{s0}(2317)$	$D_{s1}(2460)$	$D_{sJ}(2860)$	$D_{s2}(2910)$
LHC 7	2.5(0.83)	2.1(0.91)	0.21(-)	0.27(-)
LHCb 7	0.61(0.15)	0.5(0.17)	0.05(-)	0.06(-)
LHC 8	2.9(0.94)	2.4(1.0)	0.24(-)	0.32(-)
LHCb 8	0.74(0.18)	0.61(0.2)	0.06(-)	0.08(-)
LHC 14	5.5(1.6)	4.7(1.7)	0.5(-)	0.65(-)
LHCb 14	1.6(0.35)	1.3(0.38)	0.13(-)	0.17(-)

Table 2. Integrated normalized cross sections (in units of μb) for the inclusive processes $pp \to D^*_{s0}(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{s2}(2910)$ at LHC. The results outside (inside) brackets are obtained using Herwig (Pythia). Here the rapidity range $|y| < 2.5$ has been assumed for the LHC experiments (ATLAS and CMS), while the rapidity range $2.0 < y < 4.5$ is used for the LHCb.

Therefore, the coupling constant c can be determined using Eq. (3.14). Substituting the result into the Eq. (3.12), we obtain the cross section for the D_{sJ}:

$$\sigma[D_{sJ}] = \left|\frac{F^2}{2}\, g_{\rm eff}\right|^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma[HK(\boldsymbol{k})]}{dk}\right)_{\rm MC} \frac{4\pi^2\mu}{k^2 E_K^2 m_H^2}. \tag{3.15}$$

Although the expression contains explicitly a factor of $1/(E_K^2 k^2)$, this factor is completely cancelled by $(d\sigma[HK(\boldsymbol{k})]/dk)_{\rm MC}$ and thus a momentum-independent value is obtained.

4 Results

To form a molecular state, the constituents must move nearly collinear as a multiquark system and thus have a small relative momentum. Such configurations can be realized in an inclusive $2 \to 2$ QCD process, while the multiquark final states can be produced by soft parton shower radiations. The dominant partonic process for the production of the D_{sJ} is $gg \to c\bar{c}$, as the gluon density at the LHC energy is much larger than those for quarks. In addition, the process $q\bar{q} \to c\bar{c}$ will also be included in this analysis.

Using Pythia and Herwig, we have generated 10^8 events which contain a pair of the charm and anti-charm quarks. These events are then analyzed by the Rivet library [54] in order to pick out the charmed-meson kaon pair with a small invariant mass. Since the kaons in these events are mostly produced from the soft gluon emission by the heavy charm quarks, they tend to move together with the charmed hadrons. This portion of the events will contribute significantly to the formation of the molecules. To match the capability of the detectors, we have also implemented the cuts on the transverse momentum of the meson pair $p_T > 5$ GeV, and the rapidity $|y| < 2.5$ and $2.0 < y < 4.5$ for the ATLAS/CMS (denoted as LHC for simplicity)



Figure 4. Differential cross sections $d\sigma/dk$ (in units of μb/GeV) for the inclusive processes $pp \to DK$, D^*K, D_1K and D_2K at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. The histograms are obtained from the MC simulation (Herwig and Pythia) while the curves are fitted according to the momentum dependence $k^2E_K^2$. In the MC calculation, the kinematic cuts used are $|y| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 5$ GeV, which lie in the phase-space regions of the ATLAS and CMS detectors. Here, we have averaged the events in different charged channels, e.g. D^0K^+ and D^+K^0 for the DK case.

and LHCb detectors, respectively. In Fig. 4, we show the differential cross sections (histograms in this figure) versus the center-of-mass momentum of the constituent mesons up to 0.35 GeV for the inclusive processes $pp \to DK$, D^*K, D_1K, and D_2K at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Since the production of charmed mesons D_1 and D_2 is not included in Pythia, we only show the results from Herwig.

As analyzed in Sec. 3.1 and shown in Eq. (3.14), one should be able to approximately describe the production mechanism in the MC calculation using the leading order effective Lagrangian given in Eq. (3.8), and the resulting differential cross section is proportional to $k^2E_K^2$. To validate this feature, we fit to these distributions with Eq. (3.14) up to 350 MeV for the center-of-mass momentum. The fitted results are shown as curves in Fig. 4. Note that the shape of the cross section in Eq. (3.14) is completely fixed, and the fitting procedure only results in a normalization constant, which is proportional to c. From this figure, one sees that in most of the cases the MC results can be well described. The only exception is the D^*K from Herwig where there exists a clear peak at the bin between 150 MeV and 200 MeV. This peak can be attributed to the resonance $D_{s1}(2536)$ because it decays into $D^{*+}K^0$ and $D^{*0}K^+$

with a center-of-mass momentum of 149 and 167 MeV, respectively. Note that this resonance was included in Herwig but not in Pythia. In principle, this resonating contribution can be built in the scattering amplitudes using a coupled-channel formalism, which will improve the consistency with the MC simulations. However, since we are only interested in an order-of-magnitude estimate, we refrain from doing such an analysis.

With Eq. (3.15) and the differential distributions in Fig. 4, we can obtain the production rates of the hadronic D_{sJ} molecules. These results for the cross sections for the inclusive processes $pp \to D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{s2}(2910)$ (in units of μb) at the LHC with $\sqrt{s} = (7, 8, 14)$ TeV are shown in Table 2. Results outside (inside) brackets are obtained using Herwig (Pythia if applicable). Here the rapidity range $|y| < 2.5$ has been assumed for the LHC detectors (ATLAS and CMS), while the rapidity range $2.0 < y < 4.5$ is used for the LHCb. The differences between the values from Pythia and Herwig are caused by the different hadronization mechanisms to form the charmed and kaon mesons. From this table, one sees that the cross sections for the $pp \to D_{sJ}(2860)$ and the $pp \to D_{s2}(2910)$ at the LHC are at 10^{-1} μb level, while the ones for the $pp \to D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and the $pp \to D_{s1}(2460)$ are larger by roughly one order of magnitude. Such large production rates suggest a promising perspective for searching for these four exotic states at the LHC.

The $D_{s1}(2460)$ has a large decay branching fraction into $D_s\gamma$ and thus can be reconstructed from the $K^+K^-\pi^+\gamma$ final states. With the available decay branching fractions [16], we find that the cross section for the process $pp \to D_{s1}(2460) \to D_s^+\gamma \to K^+K^-\pi^+\gamma$ reaches $\mathcal{O}(10^7$ fb), and this would yield $\mathcal{O}(10^8)$ events when considering the integrated luminosity of 22 fb^{-1} from ATLAS and CMS in 2012 [55, 56]. The $D_{sJ}(2860)$ mainly decays into DK and D^*K, while the $D_{s2}(2910)$ has a large partial width into D^*K. These two hadrons can be reconstructed in hadronic final states. In particular, based on the 1 fb^{-1} data accumulated in 2011, the LHCb Collaboration has used the DK final state to reconstruct the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ [29], where about 3×10^4 D^0K^+ events from the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ were observed. Using the cross section shown in Table 2 and assuming that the $D_{sJ}(2860) \to D^0K^+$ is of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$ supported by the Babar data [57], we predict about $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ D^0K^+ events to be generated, which is about two orders of magnitude larger. However, since the detection efficiency of the experiment was not published, a direct comparison is not possible. Currently, we may only conclude that our prediction is not in conflict with the measurement. A more quantitative comparison is expected when the efficiency corrected data is available in future.

The search for the D_{sJ} states at hadron colliders depends on the non-resonant background contributions. To investigate this issue, we take the $D_{s0}(2317)$ to be constructed in the $D_s\gamma$ final state as an example. To be conservative, we use the cross section $\sigma(pp \to D_s^\pm + \text{anything})$ as an upper bound for the background. The ATLAS

collaboration has provided a measurement of this cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [58]:

$$\sigma(D_s^{\pm}) = (168 \pm 34^{+27}_{-25} \pm 18 \pm 10)\ \mu\text{b}. \tag{4.1}$$

where the $p_T(D_s^{\pm}) > 3.5$ GeV and the pseudo-rapitidity $\eta < 2.1$. Our results in Table 2 show that the cross section of the $pp \to D_{s0}(2317)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV is about 2 μb. Using the integrated luminosity in 2012, 22 fb^{-1} [55, 56], we have an estimate for the signal/background ratio

$$\frac{S}{\sqrt{B}} \sim \frac{2 \times 22 \times 10^9 \times 5\%}{\sqrt{170 \times 22 \times 10^9}} \sim 1 \times 10^3, \tag{4.2}$$

where 5% is the current upper bound for the branching fraction of the $D_{s0}(2317) \to D_s\gamma$ [16]. It is worthwhile to point out that our theoretical results have to be modified somewhat due to the mismatch in kinematics, however, we believe that the above estimate indicates a great potential for observing the discussed molecular states at the LHC.

5 Summary

Our understanding of hadron spectroscopy will be largely improved by studies of exotic states that may defy the conventional models of $c\bar{s}$ meson spectroscopy. Great progress has been made in the past decades, see Ref. [5, 6] and references therein. One of the most important aspects is the discrimination of a compact multiquark configuration and a loosely bound hadronic molecule. Many theoretical predictions exist on the decays of the some of these states, and they can be used to distinguish different scenarios including the $c\bar{s}$, compact tetraquark states and hadronic molecules. However, only few of the decay branching fractions have been measured. Experimental data with high statistics are desired at this moment.

In our work, we have explored the hadroproduction of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, $D_{s1}(2460)$, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and the predicted $D_{s2}(2910)$ states at the LHC under the assumption that these hadrons are S-wave hadron molecules. We have made use of two MC event generators, Herwig and Pythia, to simulate the production of the charmed-meson kaon pairs. Together with effective field theory to handle the final state interaction among the meson pairs and neglect their interactions with other particles, we have derived an estimate of the production rates for these particles at the order-of-magnitude accuracy. Our results show that the cross sections for the $pp \to D_{sJ}(2860)$ and the $pp \to D_{s2}(2910)$ at the LHC are at $10^{-1}\mu$b level, while the ones for the $pp \to D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and the $pp \to D_{s1}(2460)$ are larger by roughly one order of magnitude. Thus, these states can be copiously produced at the LHC, and measurements in future would be able to test the molecular description of the above states and also the production mechanism. Such measurements are very important to gain deeper insights into the hadron interactions, in particular the interactions between heavy and light mesons.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the DFG and the NSFC through funds provided to the Sino-German CRC 110 "Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD", by the NSFC (Grant No. 11165005) and by the EU I3HP HadronPhysics3 Project.

A Polarization vectors/tensors in the scattering amplitudes

In fact, the scattering amplitude in Eq. (2.4) has a factor of the product of polarization vectors (if the scattered heavy meson is the D^* or D_1) or tensors (for the D_2), and the tree-level amplitude should be of the form

$$V(s,t,u)\,\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_1)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_2)}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\vec{\epsilon}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}$ means $\epsilon_i\epsilon_i$ if the scattered heavy meson is the D^* or D_1 and $\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{ij}$ for the D_2, and $\lambda_{1,2}$ denote the polarizations of the initial and final heavy mesons. Here, we have used the fact that the heavy mesons are highly nonrelativistic when we are interested in the near threshold region, and the temporal component of polarization vector can thus be neglected. At one loop level of the resummed S-wave amplitude, one has

$$\sum_\lambda V(s)\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_1)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda)}G(s)V(s)\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_2)} = \vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_1)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_2)}V(s)G(s)V(s), \tag{A.2}$$

where we have used the nonrelativistic polarization summation formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\lambda \epsilon_i^{(\lambda)}\epsilon_j^{(\lambda)} &= \delta_{ij},\\ \sum_\lambda \epsilon_{ij}^{(\lambda)}\epsilon_{kl}^{(\lambda)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}+\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl},\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

and that ϵ_{ij} is symmetric and traceless. As a result of Eq. (A.2), the inner product of the polarization vectors/tensors can be factorized out and becomes an overall factor of the resummed amplitude

$$\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_1)}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}^{\,(\lambda_2)}\frac{V(s)}{1-G(s)V(s)}. \tag{A.4}$$

It looks different from the analogous equation in Refs. [9, 59], where the denominator of the resummed amplitude reads $1-G(s)V(s)\left[1+q_{\rm cm}^2/(3M_V)\right]$ for the pseudoscalar meson–vector meson scattering, where $q_{\rm cm}$ is the size of the momentum of the vector meson in the center-of-mass frame, and M_V is the vector meson mass. In the nonrelativistic limit, the additional factor $1+q_{\rm on}^2/(3M_V)$ is reduced to 1, and one gets the same equation as Eq. (A.4).

References

[1] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Observation of a narrow meson decaying to $D_s^+\pi^0$ at a mass of 2.32 GeV/c^2*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 242001 (2003) [hep-ex/0304021].

[2] D. Besson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], *Observation of a narrow resonance of mass 2.46 GeV/c^2 decaying to $D_s^{*+}\pi^0$ and confirmation of the $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$ state*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 68**, 032002 (2003) [Erratum-ibid. **D 75**, 119908 (2007)] [hep-ex/0305100].

[3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Observation of a New D(s) Meson Decaying to DK at a Mass of 2.86 GeV/c^2*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 222001 (2006) [hep-ex/0607082].

[4] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, *Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 32**, 189 (1985).

[5] E. S. Swanson, *The New heavy mesons: A Status report*, *Phys. Rept.* **429**, 243 (2006) [hep-ph/0601110].

[6] S.-L. Zhu, *New hadron states*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **E 17**, 283 (2008) [hep-ph/0703225].

[7] T. Barnes, F. E. Close and H. J. Lipkin, *Implications of a DK molecule at 2.32 GeV*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 68**, 054006 (2003) [hep-ph/0305025].

[8] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, *Observed $D_s(2317)$ and tentative $D(2030)$ as the charmed cousins of the light scalar nonet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 012003 (2003) [hep-ph/0305035].

[9] E. E. Kolomeitsev and M. F. M. Lutz, *On Heavy light meson resonances and chiral symmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 582**, 39 (2004) [hep-ph/0307133].

[10] F.-K. Guo, P.-N. Shen, H.-C. Chiang, R.-G. Ping and B.-S. Zou, *Dynamically generated 0+ heavy mesons in a heavy chiral unitary approach*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 641**, 278 (2006) [hep-ph/0603072].

[11] F.-K. Guo, P.-N. Shen and H.-C. Chiang, *Dynamically generated 1+ heavy mesons*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 647**, 133 (2007) [hep-ph/0610008].

[12] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U.-G. Meißner, *Implications of heavy quark spin symmetry on heavy meson hadronic molecules*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 242004 (2009) [arXiv:0904.3338 [hep-ph]].

[13] W. A. Bardeen, E. J. Eichten and C. T. Hill, *Chiral multiplets of heavy-light mesons*, *Phys. Rev.* D **68**, 054024 (2003) [hep-ph/0305049].

[14] M. A. Nowak, M. Rho and I. Zahed, *Chiral doubling of heavy light hadrons: BABAR 2317 MeV/c^2 and CLEO 2463 MeV/c^2 discoveries*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* B **35**, 2377 (2004) [hep-ph/0307102].

[15] T. Mehen and R. P. Springer, *Even- and odd-parity charmed meson masses in heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* D **72**, 034006 (2005) [hep-ph/0503134].

[16] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *Review of Particle Physics (RPP)*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 86**, 010001 (2012).

[17] P. L. Cho and M. B. Wise, *Comment on $D_s^* \to D_s\pi^0$ decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 49**, 6228 (1994) [hep-ph/9401301].

[18] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij and Y. -L. Ma, *Strong and radiative decays of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ meson in the DK molecule picture*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 76**, 014005 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0254 [hep-ph]].

[19] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij and Y. -L. Ma, *D^*K molecular structure of the $D_{s1}(2460)$ meson*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 76**, 114008 (2007) [arXiv:0709.3946 [hep-ph]].

[20] M. F. M. Lutz and M. Soyeur, *Radiative and isospin-violating decays of D_s-mesons in the hadrogenesis conjecture*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 813**, 14 (2008) [arXiv:0710.1545 [hep-ph]].

[21] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, S. Krewald and U.-G. Meißner, *Subleading contributions to the width of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 666**, 251 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3374 [hep-ph]].

[22] L. Liu, K. Orginos, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U.-G. Meißner, *Interactions of Charmed Mesons with Light Pseudoscalar Mesons from Lattice QCD and Implications on the Nature of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 87**, 014508 (2013) [arXiv:1208.4535 [hep-lat]].

[23] W. Erni *et al.* [PANDA Collaboration], M. F. M. Lutz, B. Pire, O. Scholten and R. Timmermans, *Physics Performance Report for PANDA: Strong Interaction Studies with Antiprotons,* arXiv:0903.3905 [hep-ex].

[24] D. Mohler, C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, S. Prelovsek and R. M. Woloshyn, *$D_{s0}^*(2317)$ Meson and D-Meson-Kaon Scattering from Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 222001 (2013) [arXiv:1308.3175 [hep-lat]].

[25] F.-K. Guo and U.-G. Meißner, *More kaonic bound states and a comprehensive interpretation of the D_{sJ} states*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 84**, 014013 (2011) [arXiv:1102.3536 [hep-ph]].

[26] S. Cho *et al.* [ExHIC Collaboration], *Multi-quark hadrons from Heavy Ion Collisions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 212001 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0852 [nucl-th]].

[27] S. Cho *et al.* [ExHIC Collaboration], *Studying Exotic Hadrons in Heavy Ion Collisions*, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 064910 (2011) [arXiv:1107.1302 [nucl-th]].

[28] J. S. Lange [PANDA Collaboration], *The PANDA experiment: Hadron physics with antiprotons at FAIR*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 24**, 369 (2009).

[29] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], *Study of D_{sJ} decays to D^+K_S and D^0K^+ final states in pp collisions*, *JHEP* **1210**, 151 (2012) [arXiv:1207.6016 [hep-ex]].

[30] C. Bignamini, B. Grinstein, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and C. Sabelli, *Is the X(3872) Production Cross Section at Tevatron Compatible with a Hadron Molecule Interpretation?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 162001 (2009) [arXiv:0906.0882 [hep-ph]].

[31] P. Artoisenet and E. Braaten, *Production of the X(3872) at the Tevatron and the LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 81**, 114018 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2016 [hep-ph]].

[32] P. Artoisenet and E. Braaten, *Estimating the Production Rate of Loosely-bound Hadronic Molecules using Event Generators*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83**, 014019 (2011) [arXiv:1007.2868 [hep-ph]].

[33] F.-K. Guo, U.-G. Meißner and W. Wang, *Production of charged heavy quarkonium-like states at the LHC and the Tevatron*, arXiv:1308.0193 [hep-ph].

[34] F. -K. Guo, U. -G. Meißner and W. Wang, *Production of the bottom analogues and the spin partner of the X(3872) at hadron colliders*, arXiv:1402.6236 [hep-ph].

[35] W.-S. Hou, *Searching for the bottom counterparts of* $X(3872)$ *and* $Y(4260)$ *via* $\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon$, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 017504 (2006) [hep-ph/0606016].

[36] A. Ali and W. Wang, *Production of the Exotic* 1^{--} *Hadrons* $\phi(2170)$*, X(4260) and* $Y_b(10890)$ *at the LHC and Tevatron via the Drell-Yan Mechanism*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 192001 (2011) [arXiv:1103.4587 [hep-ph]].

[37] A. Ali, C. Hambrock and W. Wang, *Hadroproduction of* $\Upsilon(nS)$ *above* $B\bar{B}$ *Thresholds and Implications for* $Y_b(10890)$, *Phys. Rev.* D 88, **054026** (2013) [arXiv:1306.4470 [hep-ph]].

[38] G. Burdman and J. F. Donoghue, *Union of chiral and heavy quark symmetries*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 280**, 287 (1992).

[39] M. B. Wise, *Chiral perturbation theory for hadrons containing a heavy quark*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 45**, 2188 (1992).

[40] T. -M. Yan, H. -Y. Cheng, C. -Y. Cheung, G. -L. Lin, Y. C. Lin and H. -L. Yu, *Heavy quark symmetry and chiral dynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 46**, 1148 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. **D 55**, 5851 (1997)].

[41] M. Cleven, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U.-G. Meißner, *Light meson mass dependence of the positive parity heavy-strange mesons*, *Eur. Phys. J.* A **47**, 19 (2011) [arXiv:1009.3804 [hep-ph]].

[42] C. Bignamini, B. Grinstein, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa, V. Riquer and C. Sabelli, *More loosely bound hadron molecules at CDF?, Phys. Lett.* **B 684**, 228 (2010) [arXiv:0912.5064 [hep-ph]].

[43] AEsposito, FPiccinini, APilloni and A. D. Polosa, *A Mechanism for Hadron Molecule Production in* $p\bar{p}(p)$ *Collisions, J. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 1569 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0527 [hep-ph]].

[44] J. A. Oller and E. Oset, *Chiral symmetry amplitudes in the S wave isoscalar and isovector channels and the* σ*,* $f_0(980)$*,* $a_0(980)$ *scalar mesons*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 620**, 438 (1997) [Erratum-ibid. **A 652**, 407 (1999)] [hep-ph/9702314].

[45] J. A. Oller and E. Oset, *N/D description of two meson amplitudes and chiral symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 60**, 074023 (1999) [hep-ph/9809337].

[46] J. A. Oller and U.-G. Meißner, *Chiral dynamics in the presence of bound states: Kaon nucleon interactions revisited*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 500**, 263 (2001) [hep-ph/0011146].

[47] J. Nieves and E. Ruiz Arriola, *Bethe-Salpeter approach for unitarized chiral perturbation theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 679**, 57 (2000) [hep-ph/9907469].

[48] B. Borasoy, P. C. Bruns, U.-G. Meißner and R. Nißler, *A Gauge invariant chiral unitary framework for kaon photo- and electroproduction on the proton*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A 34**, 161 (2007) [arXiv:0709.3181 [nucl-th]].

[49] U.-G. Meißner and J. A. Oller, *$J/\psi \to \phi\pi\pi(K\bar{K})$ decays, chiral dynamics and OZI violation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 679**, 671 (2001) [hep-ph/0005253].

[50] K. M. Watson, *The Effect of final state interactions on reaction cross-sections*, *Phys. Rev.* **88**, 1163 (1952).

[51] A. B. Migdal, *The theory of nuclear reactions with production of slow particles*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **1**, 2 (1955).

[52] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *A Brief Introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178**, 852 (2008) [arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph]].

[53] M. Bahr, S. Gieseke, M. A. Gigg, D. Grellscheid, K. Hamilton, O. Latunde-Dada, S. Platzer and P. Richardson *et al.*, *Herwig++ Physics and Manual*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 58**, 639 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph]].

[54] A. Buckley, J. Butterworth, L. Lonnblad, H. Hoeth, J. Monk, H. Schulz, J. E. von Seggern and F. Siegert *et al.*, *Rivet user manual*, arXiv:1003.0694 [hep-ph].

[55] https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/LuminosityPublicResults .

[56] https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/LumiPublicResults.

[57] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Study of D_{sJ} decays to D^*K in inclusive e^+e^- interactions*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 092003 (2009) [arXiv:0908.0806 [hep-ex]].

[58] ATLAS Collaboration, *Measurement of $D^{(}*)$ meson production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, ATLAS-CONF-2011-017.

[59] L. Roca, E. Oset and J. Singh, *Low lying axial-vector mesons as dynamically generated resonances*, *Phys. Rev.* D **72**, 014002 (2005) [hep-ph/0503273].